Causality of the Brane Universe - OPERA and ICARUS

R.Parthasarathy¹ Chennai Mathematical Institute H1, SIPCOT IT Park Siruseri 6003103 India

Abstract

The apparent violation of causality in the brane Universe can be avoided by taking the bulk spacetime modelled by a 5-dimensional Kaluza theory with factorizable ansatz for the 5-dimensional metric whose components do not depend on the fifth coordinate and with G_{55} not a constant. The geodesic in the bulk does not correspond to a free particle. The Kaluza scalar makes it non-inertial. The implications on the neutrino experiment is that there is no superluminal propagation even after invoking sterile neutrinos.

¹e-mail address: sarathy@cmi.ac.in

The idea that our Universe is a brane in some higher dimensional spacetime with the matter (physical) fields are confined in the brane and the gravitational fields live in the brane and higher dimensional spacetime, is gaining importance since the work of Randall and Sundrum [1]. In Ref. 1, the brane is embedded in a 5-dimensional spacetime (with warped metric) without compactifying the fifth dimension. A possibility that matter in the brane may be connected almost instantaneously through the fifth dimension has been suggested by Kälbermann and Halevi [2]. The causal structure of the brane Universe with possible apparent violation of causality has been demonstrated by Ishihara [3]. This exotic scenario gained prominance recently to understand the results of the OPERA experiment on muon-neutrino beam [4] and many attempts were made to reconcile with the OPERA data [5,6,7,8] using the brane world approach. In these studies, the existence of 'stetrile neutrinos' is assumed. The 'active neutrinos' (in the Standard Model) propagate in the (3+1)-dimensional brane while the 'sterile neutrinos' (gauge singlets) are free to propagate in the extra-dimensional bulk as well as in the brane. Its geodesic between two points on the brane will include travel in bulk spacetime. By introducing a mixing of the sterile and active neutrinos, the studies show that the active neutrinos appear to be superluminal. There are other studies [9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18, partial list] on OPERA result not invoking brane world scenario and even suspecting the experiment [19].

Whether the results of the OPERA experiment are correct or not, the idea that there is a possible apparent violation of causality for the brane Universe merits further study. This becomes relevant in view of the recent experiment (ICARUS Collobaration) [20] that the time of flight difference between the speed of light and the arriving neutrino events is compatible with the simultaneous arrival of all events with equal speed.

Briefly, Ishihara [3] considered the brane as a 4-dimensional intrinsically flat spacetime. Since the brane is embedded in the higher dimensional bulk spacetime, taken as 5-dimensional, there is the induced metric on the brane and an extrinsic curvature. The brane is curved extrinscally by its self gravity. It is then possible that a path appears in the bulk corresponding to two points on the brane. There will be two paths: a path confined on the brane with induced metric and extrinsic curvature and a path in the bulk which is free. If the information through the path in the bulk arrives earlier than the one

through the path in the brane, then there is an apparent violation of causality. Ishihara [3] indeed showed that this happens when the brane is concave towards the bulk in the null direction. In other words, the fluctuations in the brane (via the extrinsic curvature) effectively increase the path length in the brane relative to the path length in the bulk. The 'on-brane geodesic' is longer than the 'bulk geodesic'. This conclusion is based on the realization that the geodesic in the bulk corresponds to a free particle.

In this note, it will be shown that the geodesic in the bulk does not correspond to a free particle. As an illustrative model for the bulk, we consider it a 5-dimensional spacetime as in the case of Kaluza-Klein theory. We assume a factorizable ansatz for the metric in the bulk for simplicity. The fifth coordinate is not taken to be compact. Further, the electromagnetism is switched off as photons live in the brane only. The 5-dimensional metric in the bulk is taken to be

$$G_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & G_{55} \end{pmatrix} \; ; \; G^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{G_{55}} \end{pmatrix},$$
 (1)

where $A = \{\mu, 5\}$. We do not consider G_{55} a constant. All the entries in the metric are taken to be independent of the fifth coordinate but depend on x^{μ} . The above metric is taken as a model for the bulk. The brane world is the physical 4-dimensional spacetime with metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$. It is to be recalled that in the Kaluza theory which unifies gravity with electromagnetism, the G_{55} component should not be a constant, though many authors considered this. With G_{55} a constant, the Einstein equations in the 5-dimensional world become inconsistent. This important result was shown by Jordon [21] and Thiry [22] and reviewed by Overduin and Wesson [23]. In our case, with G_{55} not a constant, the Einstein equations in the bulk for \tilde{R}_{55} , $\tilde{R}_{\mu 5}$, $\tilde{R}_{\mu \nu}$ are consistent, in the absence of electromagnetism.

The geodesic equation in the 5-dimensional bulk spacetime is

$$\frac{d^2 Z^A}{ds^2} + \Delta_{BC}^A \frac{dZ^B}{ds} \frac{dZ^C}{ds} = 0, \tag{2}$$

where $Z^A = \{x^{\mu}, x^5\}$ and Δ_{BC}^A 's are the 5-dimensional Christoffel connections; $\Delta_{BC}^A = \frac{1}{2}G^{AD}(\partial_B G_{CD} + \partial_C G_{BD} - \partial_D G_{BC})$ with $\partial_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}$. We rewrite

(2) as

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(G_{AB}\frac{dZ^B}{ds}\right) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_A G_{CD})\frac{dZ^C}{ds}\frac{dZ^D}{ds} = 0.$$
 (3)

The geodesics (2) or (3) are the geodesics for a free particle in the 5-dimensional bulk. Since the metric G_{AB} in (1) are taken to be independent of the fifth coordinate, the A=5 part of (3) gives G_{5B} $\frac{dZ^B}{ds}$ a constant along the geodesic. We shall denote this constant by a. The $A=\mu$ part of (3) then becomes

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{ds} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\rho}) \frac{dx^{\nu}}{ds} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a^2}{G_{55}^2} (\partial_{\mu} G_{55}), \tag{4}$$

which can be expressed as

$$\frac{d^2x^{\mu}}{ds^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho\nu} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{ds} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a^2}{G_{55}^2} g^{\mu\lambda} (\partial_{\lambda} G_{55}), \tag{5}$$

where $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho\nu}$ is the Christoffel connection for the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$. This is the geodesic equation for a particle in the bulk 5-dimensional spacetime with indices μ, ν, ρ, λ taking values (0, 1, 2, 3) as in the brane world. This describes the path appearing in the bulk corresponding to two points on the brane. Thus the path in the bulk, corresponding to two points on the brane, is not a 'free path'. The Kaluza scalar (the G_{55} part of G_{AB} in (1)) provides an additional force on the particle in the bulk. In this model, the 'on-brane geodesic' will not be longer than the 'bulk geodesic' as the geodesic in the bulk does not correspond to a free particle while the 'on-brane geodesic' corresponds to a free particle.

If now, the fluctuations in the brane are taken into account by means of extrinsic curvature, then the geodesic on the brane has a contribution from the extrinsic curvature. When the brane is concave towards the bulk in the null direction, it is conceivable that the roles of the extrinsic curvature effects on the geodesic in the brane and that of the Kaluza scalar $G_{55}(x)$ on the geodesic in the bulk nicely balance so that the particles following the two paths, one on the brane and the other on the bulk, arrive eventually at the same time on the brane. This avoids the violation of causality in the brane Universe.

Now analysing the reasoning of the explanation of the OPERA result by invoking sterile and active neutrinos with mixing, we see that the sterile neutrinos travelling in the bulk and the active neutrinos travelling in the brane could arrive at the same time on the brane, thereby negating the superluminal propagation of neutrinos. It is gratifying that this is in agreement with the results of the recent ICARUS Collaboration [20] that the time of flight difference between the speed of light and the arriving neutrino events is compatible with the simulataneous arrival of all the events.

The Kaluza's five dimensional spacetime description of the bulk (in which the Standard Model matter fields do not exist) - a model - with G_{55} not a constant, is further examined in the light of constraints by Gubser [24]. Gubser [24] considered an extra-dimensional space with a non-factorizable ansatz for the metric and showed a possible violation of the null energy condition in extra dimensions. In our case, we have a factorizable metric in (1) and none of the components of G_{AB} depend on the extra coordinate. Further, the Einstein equations in the bulk are $\tilde{R}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}G_{AB}\tilde{R} = 0$ and so the null energy condition $\tilde{R}_{AB}\xi^A\xi^B = 0$ for ξ^A any null vector is satisfied. So the constraint does not arise.

To summarize: The apparent violation of causality in the brane Universe can be avoided by taking the bulk spacetime modelled by a 5-dimensional Kaluza theory with factorizable ansatz for the 5-dimensional metric whose components do not depend on the fifth coordinate and with G_{55} not a constant. The geodesic in the bulk does not correspond to a free particle. The Kaluza scalar makes it non-inertial. The geodesic in the brane having extrinsic curvature contribution makes it possible that the lengths of the geodesics in the bulk and on the brane can be made same, thereby avoiding the violation of causality in the brane Universe. The implications on the neutrino experiment is that there is no superluminal propagation even invoking sterile neutrinos. We have considered the fifth coordinate as non-compact. The concusions remain unaltered if the fifth coordinate is compactified to a circle. In this case, we need to retain the n=0 mode only as this corresponds to the lowest (vacuum) state.

Acknowledgements

Useful discussions with R.Jagannathan are acknowledged with thanks.

References

- 1. L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys.Rev.Lett. 83, 4690 (1999).
- 2. G. Kälbermann and H. Halevi, Nearness through an extra dimension, gr-qc/9810083.
- 3. H. Ishihara, Phys.Rev.Lett. **86**, 381 (2001).
- 4. T. Adam et.al., (OPERA Collobaration) Measurement of the neutrino velocity with the OPERA detector in the CNGS beam, hep-ex/1109.4897.
- 5. G.F. Giudice, S. Sibiryakov and A. Strumia, Interpreting OPERA results on superluminal neutrino, hep-ph/1109.5682.
- 6. S. Hannestad and M.S. Sloth, Apparent faster than light propagation from light sterile neutrinos, hep-ph/1109.6282.
- 7. A. Nicolaidis, Neutrino Shortcuts in Spacetime, hep-ph/1109.6354.
- 8. D. Marfatia, H. Päs, S. Pakvasa and T.J. Weiler, Phys.Lett. **B707**, 553 (2012), hep-ph/1112.0527.
- 9. A. Kehagias, Relativistic Superluminal Neutrinos, arXiv: 1109.6312.
- 10. N.D. Hari Dass, OPERA, SN1987a and energy dependence of superluminal neutrino velocity, hep-ph/1110.0351.
- 11. I.Ya. Arefeva and I.V. Volovich, Superluminal Dark Neutrinos, hep-ph/1110.0456.
- 12. M. De Sanctis, Wave packet distortion and superluminal neutrinos, hep-ph/1110.3071.

- 13. H. Bergeron, About Statistical Questions involved in the Data Analysis of the OPERA Experiment, arXiv: 1110.5275.
- 14. D. Indumathi, R.K. Kaul, M.V.N. Murthy and G. Rajasekaran, Group Velocity of Neutrino Waves, arXiv: 1110.5453.
- 15. N. Nakanishi, An interpretation of 'Superluminal Neutrino' compatible with Relativity in the framework of Standard Model, hep-ph/1111.1760.
- 16. J.D. Franson, Reduced photon velocities in the OPERA Neutrino Experiment and Supernova 1987a, hep-ph/1111.6986.
- 17. E. Ciuffoli, J. Evslin, X. Bi and X. Zhang, Density-Dependent Neutrino Dispersion Relation for OPERA?, hep-ph/1112.3551.
- 18. G. Amelino-Camelia, Third road to the OPERA: a tunnel after all?, hep-ph/1201.6496.
- 19. A.G. Cohen and S.L. Glashow, New Constraints on neutrino velocities, hep-ph/1109.6562.
- 20. M. Antonello et.al., (ICARUS Collaboration), Measurement of the neutrino velocity with the ICARUS detector at the CNGS beam, arXiv: 1203.3433.
- 21. P. Jordan, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 1, 219 (1947).
- 22. Y. Thiry, Comptes Rendus Acad.Sci. (Paris) 226, 216 (1948).
- 23. J.M. Overduin and P. Wesson, Phys.Rep. **283**, 303 (1997); gr-qc/9805018.
- 24. S.S. Gubser, Superluminal neutrinos and extra dimensions: Constraints from the null energy condition. hep-th/1109.5687.